



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/708,658

03/17/2004

Rodrick A. HERDMAN

EZL-001M

2657

26868 7590 03/31/2008

HASSE & NESBITT LLC
8837 CHAPEL SQUARE DRIVE
SUITE C
CINCINNATI, OH 45249

EXAMINER

GALL, LLOYD A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3673

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/31/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/708,658	Applicant(s) HERDMAN, RODRICK A.	
	Examiner Lloyd A. Gall	Art Unit 3673	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lloyd A. Gall. (3)_____.

(2) Daniel F. Nesbitt. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 48.

Identification of prior art discussed: Monahan (002).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Advisory action was discussed, and Mr. Nesbitt argued that Monahan does not teach all of the change balls being removed from a retainer cavity. Mr. Nesbitt will consider adding the linear upper edge of claim 48 into claim 1, and arguing that Monahan does not teach such linear upper edge to remove all of the change members.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lloyd A. Gall/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3673

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required